



**ENTERED**

TAWANA C. MARSHALL, CLERK  
THE DATE OF ENTRY IS  
ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

*Harlin DeWayne Hale*  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Signed July 25, 2012

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

In re: § Case No. 09-33886-HDH  
PROVIDENT ROYALTIES, LLC, *et. al.* § Chapter 11  
Debtors. § (Jointly Administered)

ORDER SUSTAINING TRUSTEE'S 8TH, 12TH, 13TH, 14TH, 15TH, 16TH, 17TH,  
18TH, 19TH, 20TH, 21ST, AND 22ND OMNIBUS OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

Before the Court are the following claim objections: *Trustee's Eighth Omnibus Objection: Duplicate Claims* [Dkt. No. 1216]; *Trustee's Twelfth Omnibus Objection: Improperly Classified Claims and Claims with Interest* [Dkt. No. 1218]; *Trustee's Thirteenth Omnibus Objection: Claims with Interest* [Dkt. No. 1219]; *Trustee's Fourteenth Omnibus Objection: Improperly Classified Claims and Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1220]; *Trustee's Fifteenth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1221]; *Trustee's Sixteenth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1222] *Trustee's Seventeenth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid*

[Dkt. No. 1223]; *Trustee's Eighteenth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1224]; *Trustee's Nineteenth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1225]; *Trustee's Twentieth Omnibus Objection: Claims that do not Reflect Dividends Paid* [Dkt. No. 1226]; *Trustee's Twenty-First Omnibus Objection: Improperly Classified Claims that Contradict the Debtors' Books and Records* [Dkt. No. 1227]; and *Trustee's Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection: Claims that Contradict the Debtors' Books and Records* [Dkt. No. 1228] (collectively, the "Objections").

Milo H. Segner, Jr., Liquidating Trustee of the PR Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), filed the Objections on June 11, 2012, and the Court conducted a hearing on July 17, 2012 (the "Hearing"). At the Hearing, the Court admitted the Trustee's exhibits 1 through 20 (the "Exhibits"). The Court received seven written responses to the Objections, and counsel for the Trustee received another five (collectively, the "Responses"). The Court finds that the Trustee was able to resolve the Responses in advance of the Hearing as provided in Exhibit 18. Furthermore, no claimant appeared at the Hearing to press a response.

Having considered the Objections, the Responses, the Exhibits, and the presentation of counsel at the Hearing, the Court finds: (1) the Objections comply with the Court's *Order on Trustee's Motion for Omnibus Claims Procedures* [Dkt. No. 1109], which was entered pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3007 and 11 U.S.C. § 105(a); (2) notice of the Objections and the Hearing was proper and sufficient; and (3) good cause exists to sustain the Objections. Accordingly, it is hereby:

**ORDERED** that the Objections are **SUSTAINED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the treatment of claims provided in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto [Dkt. No. 1292] and incorporated herein by reference, is hereby adopted, and those claims

described Exhibit A are hereby afforded the treatment provided in Exhibit A; and it is further

**ORDERED** that this Order is without prejudice to the Trustee's ability to object to the claims described in the Exhibits on any other basis.

### End of Order ###

Submitted by:

/s/ Julian P. Vasek  
Julian P. Vasek  
Texas State Bar No. 24070790  
ROCHELLE MCCULLOUGH LLP  
325 N. St. Paul, Suite 4500  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Telephone: (214) 953-0182  
Facsimile: (214) 953-0185

COUNSEL FOR MILO H. SEGNER, JR.,  
LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE OF PR LIQUIDATING TRUST